arXiv:1703.07157v1 [math.DS] 21 Mar 2017

Theoretical Informatics and Applications Will be set by the publisher
Informatique Théorique et Applications

ENVELOPE WORDS AND THE REFLEXIVITY OF THE RETURN WORD SEQUENCES IN THE PERIOD-DOUBLING SEQUENCE*

Yu-Ke HUANG[1] and Zhi-Ying WEN[2]

Abstract. We consider the infinite one-sided sequence over alphabet $\{a, b\}$ generated by the period-doubling substitution $\sigma(a) = ab$ and $\sigma(b) = aa$, denoted by $\mathbb{D}$. Let $r_p(\omega)$ be the p-th return word of factor ω. The main result of this paper is twofold. (1) For any factor ω in $\mathbb{D}$, the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is Θ_1 or Θ_2. Both of them are substitutive sequences and determined completely in this paper. (2) For any factor ω in Θ_1 (resp. Θ_2), the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is still Θ_1 or Θ_2. We call it the reflexivity property of the return word sequence. As an application, we introduce a notion of spectrum for studying some typical combinatorial properties, such as separated, adjacent and overlapped.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B85, 68Q45.

Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b\}$ be a binary alphabet. The period-doubling sequence $\mathbb{D}$ is the fixed point beginning with the letter a of substitution $\sigma(a) = ab$ and $\sigma(b) = aa$, and also the first difference of the Thue-Morse sequence. Here we use an equivalent substitution $\hat{\sigma}(1) = 10$, $\hat{\sigma}(0) = 11$, and the definition of the difference of an integer sequence is natural. It has been heavily studied in mathematics and computer science. Damanik [8] determined the numbers of palindromes, squares and cubes

Keywords and phrases: the period-doubling sequence, envelope word, reflexivity of the return word sequence, combinatorics on words, spectrum

* *The research is supported by the Grant NSFC No.11431007 and No.11371210.*

[1] School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Beihang University (BUAA), Beijing, 100191, P. R. China; e-mail: huangyuke@buaa.edu.cn (Corresponding author).

[2] Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China; e-mail: wenzy@tsinghua.edu.cn.

© EDP Sciences 1999

of length n occurring in $\mathbb{D}$. Allouche-Peyrière-Wen-Wen [2] proved that all the Hankel determinants of $\mathbb{D}$ are odd integers. See also [1, 3–5, 7, 9–11, 14–16].

We denote $A_m = \sigma^m(a)$ and $B_m = \sigma^m(b)$ for $m \geq 0$. Then $|A_m| = |B_m| = 2^m$, where $|\omega|$ is the length of ω. Let $\delta_m \in \{a, b\}$ be the last letter of A_m. Obviously, $\delta_m = a$ if and only if m even; δ_{m+1} is the last letter of B_m. For later use, we list some elementary properties of $\mathbb{D}$, which can be proved easily by induction: $A_m\delta_m^{-1} = B_m\delta_{m+1}^{-1}$ and $A_m = a\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j$ for $m \geq 0$. Moreover $\mathbb{D} = a\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j$.

The mirror word of $\omega = x_1x_2\cdots x_n$ is defined to be $\overleftarrow{\omega} = x_n\cdots x_2x_1$. A word ω is called a palindrome if $\omega = \overleftarrow{\omega}$. We define $\overline{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ by $\overline{a} = b$ and $\overline{b} = a$. We say that ν is a prefix (resp. suffix) of a word ω if there exists word u such that $\omega = \nu u$ (resp. $\omega = u\nu$), $|u| \geq 0$, which denoted by $\nu \lhd \omega$ (resp. $\nu \rhd \omega$). In this case, we write $\nu^{-1}\omega = u$ (resp. $\omega\nu^{-1} = u$), where ν^{-1} is the inverse word of ν such that $\nu\nu^{-1} = \nu^{-1}\nu = \varepsilon$ (empty word).

Let $\rho = x_1x_2\cdots x_n$ be a finite word. For any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, we define $\rho[i] = \rho[i, i] = x_i$, $\rho[i, i-1] = \varepsilon$, $\rho[i, j] = x_ix_{i+1}\cdots x_{j-1}x_j$ and $\rho[i, \mathrm{end}] = \rho[i, n]$. Since $\mathbb{D}$ is uniformly recurrent, each factor ω appears infinite many times in the sequence, which is arranged as ω_p ($p \geq 1$). Here we distinguish $\omega_p \neq \omega_q$ if $p \neq q$. Let $[\omega]_p$ be the position of the first letter of ω_p. We also call it the position of ω_p for short. The definition of return word is from Durand [6]. Let $\omega \prec \mathbb{D}$ be a factor of $\mathbb{D}$. The *p-th return word* of ω is $r_p(\omega) = \mathbb{D}[[\omega]_p, [\omega]_{p+1} - 1]$ for $p \geq 1$. The sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is called the *return word sequence* of factor ω. We denote $r_0(\omega) = \mathbb{D}[1, [\omega]_1 - 1]$ the prefix of $\mathbb{D}$ before ω_1, which is not a return word.

Huang-Wen [12,13] determine the structure of the return word sequences of the Fibonacci sequence $\mathbb{F}$ and the Tribonacci sequence $\mathbb{T}$, respectively. More precisely, for any factor ω of $\mathbb{F}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$), the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is still $\mathbb{F}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$). Using these properties, we determined the numbers of palindromes, squares and cubes occurring in $\mathbb{F}[1, n]$ (the prefix of $\mathbb{F}$ of length n) and $\mathbb{T}[1, n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. These topics are of great importance in computer science.

The main tool of the two papers above is "kernel word". However, in the study of the period-doubling sequence $\mathbb{D}$, the technique of kernel word fail. In fact, there is no kernel set in $\mathbb{D}$, which satisfies the "uniqueness of kernel decomposition" property, see [12,13]. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a new notion called "envelope word" and prove the "uniqueness of envelope extension" property.

The other difficulty is: the return word sequence of $\mathbb{D}$ is not unique, see examples in Section 1. In this paper, we determine the return word sequences completely. We also find out the relations among them and $\mathbb{D}$, called the reflexivity property.

1. Main Results

Now we turn to list the main results, and give some examples.

Let $\{E_m^i \mid i = 1, 2,\ m \geq 1\}$ be a set of factors with

$$E_m^1 = A_m\delta_m^{-1} \text{ and } E_m^2 = B_mB_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}.$$

We call E_m^i the m-th *envelope word* of type i.

Obviously, the lengths of all envelope words are odd, except $E_1^2 = aa$.

Tab.1: The first few values of A_m, B_m, E_m^1 and E_m^2

m	0	1	2	3	4
A_m	a	ab	$abaa$	$abaaabab$	$abaaababababaaabaa$
B_m	b	aa	$abab$	$abaaabaa$	$abaaababababaaabab$
E_m^1		a	aba	$abaaaba$	$abaaababababaaaba$
E_m^2		aa	$ababa$	$abaaabaaaba$	$abaaababababaaababababaaaba$

Define $\Theta_1 = \tau_1(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Theta_2 = \tau_2(\mathbb{D})$, where $\tau_1(a) = a$, $\tau_1(b) = bb$, $\tau_2(a) = ab$ and $\tau_2(b) = acac$. Obviously, Θ_1 and Θ_2 are $\mathbb{D}$ over the alphabets $\{a, bb\}$ and $\{ab, acac\}$, respectively.

Tab.2: The first few letters of $\mathbb{D}$, Θ_1 and Θ_2

$\mathbb{D}$	=	$abaaababababaaabaaababababaaababababaaabaaabaaab\cdots$
Θ_1	=	$abbaaabbabbabbaaabbaaabbaaabbabbabbaaabbabbabbaaabbabbab\cdots$
Θ_2	=	$abacacababababacacabacacabacacababababacacababababacacababababac\cdots$

First result. For any factor ω of $\mathbb{D}$, denoted by $\omega \prec \mathbb{D}$, the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is Θ_1 or Θ_2. For instance, $\{r_p(aba)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_1 over alphabet $\{r_1(aba), r_2(aba)\} = \{abaa, ab\}$, which is denoted by $\{A, B\}$ as below.

$$\mathbb{D} = \underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{ab}_{B}$$
$$\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{ab}_{B}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\underbrace{abaa}_{A}\cdots$$

And $\{r_p(aa)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_2 over$\{r_1(aa), r_2(aa), r_4(aa)\} = \{a, aababab, aab\}$, which is denoted by $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ as below.

$$\mathbb{D} = ab\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aababab}_{\beta}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aab}_{\gamma}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aab}_{\gamma}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aababab}_{\beta}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}$$
$$\underbrace{aababab}_{\beta}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aababab}_{\beta}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aab}_{\gamma}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aab}_{\gamma}\underbrace{a}_{\alpha}\underbrace{aababab}_{\beta}\cdots$$

Second result. For any factor ω of Θ_1 (resp. Θ_2), the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is Θ_1 or Θ_2 too. For instance:

1. take $\omega = abba \prec \Theta_1$, then $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in Θ_1 is Θ_1 over $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega)\}$;
2. take $\omega = aa \prec \Theta_1$, then $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in Θ_1 is Θ_2 over $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega), r_4(\omega)\}$;
3. take $\omega = aba \prec \Theta_2$, then $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in Θ_2 is Θ_1 over $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega)\}$;
4. take $\omega = ababa \prec \Theta_2$, then $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in Θ_2 is Θ_2 over $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega), r_4(\omega)\}$.

Generally speaking, Let τ be a sequence and f be a mapping on τ, where $f(\tau)$ maps τ to the set $\big\{\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1} \mid \omega \prec \tau\big\}$. An immediate corollary of this result is the set $\{\Theta_1, \Theta_2\}$ is invariant under the mapping f, i.e., $f(\Theta_i) = \{\Theta_1, \Theta_2\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We call it the reflexivity property of the return word sequences. Recall that for any factor, the return word sequence of the Fibonacci (resp. Tribonacci) sequence is itself [12, 13]. They are special cases of the reflexivity property.

2. The return word sequences of envelope words in $\mathbb{D}$

Our goal in this section is to prove two theorems below. They determine the return word sequences for all envelope words.

Theorem 2.1. *The return word sequence $\{r_p(E_m^1)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_1 over the binary alphabet $\{A_m, A_{m-1}\}$.*

Theorem 2.2. *The return word sequence $\{r_p(E_m^2)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_2 over the three-letter alphabet $\{A_{m-1}, A_{m-1}A_mB_{m+1}, B_mB_{m-1}\}$.*

2.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We first give a criterion to determine all occurrences of a factor, called *Criterion E*. It is useful in our proofs. Let $\omega = u\nu$ where $|u|, |\nu| > 0$. Obviously, if $\mathbb{D}[L, L+|\omega|-1] = \omega$, then $\mathbb{D}[L, L+|u|-1] = u$. Thus in order to determine all occurrences of ω, we need two steps:

1. find out all occurrences of u;
2. check every occurrences of u. When an occurrence of u is followed by ν, we say the factor u at this position can extend to ω.

Lemma 2.3. *A_m occurs exactly twice in A_mA_m (resp. $A_mB_mA_m$) for $m \geq 0$.*

Proof. The result is clearly true for $m = 0$. Assume the result is true for m. Then all possible positions of A_m in $A_{m+1}A_{m+1}$ and $A_{m+1}B_{m+1}A_{m+1}$ are shown with "underbrace" as below.

$$A_{m+1}A_{m+1} = \underbrace{A_m}_{[1]} B_m \underbrace{A_m}_{[2]} B_m,$$
$$A_{m+1}B_{m+1}A_{m+1} = \underbrace{A_m}_{[3]} B_m \underbrace{A_m}_{[4]} \underbrace{A_m}_{[5]} \underbrace{A_m}_{[6]} B_m.$$

Among them, only the A_m at positions [1], [2], [3] and [6] are followed by B_m. By Criterion E, $A_{m+1} = A_mB_m$ occurs twice in $A_{m+1}A_{m+1}$ (resp. $A_{m+1}B_{m+1}A_{m+1}$). So the conclusion hold for $m \geq 0$ by induction. □

By Lemma 2.3, the first three positions of A_{m-1} are shown below.

$$\underbrace{A_{m-1}}_{[1]} B_{m-1} \underbrace{A_{m-1}}_{[2]} \underbrace{A_{m-1}}_{[3]} A_{m-1} \triangleleft A_{m+2} \triangleleft \mathbb{D}.$$

All of them are followed by $B_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1} = A_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}^{-1}$. By Criterion E, all of them can extend to $E_m^1 = A_{m-1}B_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}$. Thus the first three positions of E_m^1 are:

$$[E_m^1]_1 = 1,\ [E_m^1]_2 = 2^m + 1,\ [E_m^1]_3 = 3 \times 2^{m-1} + 1.$$

By the definition of return words $r_p(E_m^1)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} r_0(E_m^1) = \mathbb{D}[1, [E_m^1]_1 - 1] = \mathbb{D}[1, 0] = \varepsilon; \\ r_1(E_m^1) = \mathbb{D}[[E_m^1]_1, [E_m^1]_2 - 1] = \mathbb{D}[1, 2^m] = A_m; \\ r_2(E_m^1) = \mathbb{D}[[E_m^1]_2, [E_m^1]_3 - 1] = \mathbb{D}[2^m + 1, 3 \times 2^{m-1}] = A_{m-1}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover $|r_0(E_m^1)| = 0$, $|r_1(E_m^1)| = 2^m$ and $|r_2(E_m^1)| = 2^{m-1}$.

For $m \geq 1$ we define an infinite sequence of alphabets

$$\mathcal{A}_m^1 = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} = \{r_1(E_m^1), r_2(E_m^1) r_2(E_m^1)\} = \{A_m, B_m\}.$$

For each fixed $m \geq 1$, we denote factors $\sigma^n(\mathbf{a})$ and $\sigma^n(\mathbf{b})$ over alphabet $\mathcal{A}_m^1$ by $\mathbf{A_n}$ and $\mathbf{B_n}$, respectively. For instance, taking $m = 1$, $\mathcal{A}_1^1 = \{A_1, B_1\} = \{ab, aa\}$. Then $\mathbf{A_2} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma^2(ab) = abaaabab = A_3$ over alphabet $\{a, b\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_m^1$, $\mathbf{A_n} = A_{m+n}$, $\mathbf{B_n} = B_{m+n}$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{A_0} = \mathbf{a} = A_m$, $\mathbf{B_0} = \mathbf{b} = B_m$, the result is correct for $n = 0$. Assume it is true for n, we prove it for $n + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A_{n+1}} &= \mathbf{A_n B_n} = A_{m+n} B_{m+n} = A_{m+n+1}, \\ \mathbf{B_{n+1}} &= \mathbf{A_n A_n} = A_{m+n} A_{m+n} = B_{m+n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, the result is correct for all $n \geq 0$. □

By the definitions of $\mathcal{A}_m^1$ and Θ_1, Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to Theorem 2.1'.

Theorem 2.1'. *For each envelope word E_m^1 ($m \geq 1$), the return word sequence $\{r_p(E_m^1)\}_{p \geq 1}$ in the period-doubling sequence is itself still a period-doubling sequence over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_m^1$.*

Proof. By $A_m = a \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j$ and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\mathbb{D} = a \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j = a \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j \prod_{j=m}^{\infty} B_j = \mathbf{a} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{m+j} = \mathbf{a} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{B_j} = \mathbf{D}.$$

Here $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are the period-doubling sequence over alphabets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_m^1$, respectively. Thus the conclusion holds. □

2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2

By an analogous argument, for $m \geq 1$, B_m occurs
(1) once in $A_m B_m$, at position $2^m + 1$;
(2) three times in $B_m A_m B_m$, at positions 1, $2^{m-1} + 1$ and $2^{m+1} + 1$;
(3) three times in $B_m A_m A_m A_m B_m$, at positions 1, $2^{m-1} + 1$ and $2^{m+2} + 1$.

Thus by $A_mB_mA_mA_mA_mB_mA_mB_mA_mB_m \triangleleft A_{m+4} \triangleleft \mathbb{D}$ and Criterion E, the first five positions of $E_m^2 = B_mB_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}$ are:

$$2^m+1,\ 3\times 2^{m-1}+1,\ 5\times 2^m+1,\ 11\times 2^{m-1}+1,\ 7\times 2^m+1.$$

The expressions of $r_p(E_m^2)$, $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq 4$ are

$$\begin{cases} r_0(E_m^2) = A_m, & |r_0(E_m^2)| = 2^m; \\ r_1(E_m^2) = r_3(E_m^2) = A_{m-1}, & |r_1(E_m^2)| = 2^{m-1}; \\ r_2(E_m^2) = A_{m-1}A_mB_{m+1}, & |r_2(E_m^2)| = 7\times 2^{m-1}; \\ r_4(E_m^2) = B_mB_{m-1}, & |r_4(E_m^2)| = 3\times 2^{m-1}. \end{cases}$$

For $m \geq 1$ we define an infinite sequence of alphabets

$$\mathcal{A}_m^2 = \{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\} = \{r_1r_2, r_1r_4r_1r_4\} = \{A_m^{-1}A_{m+2}A_m, A_m^{-1}B_{m+2}A_m\}.$$

Here we denote $r_i(E_m^2)$ by r_i for short $(i = 1, 2, 4)$.

For each fixed $m \geq 1$, we denote factors $\sigma^n(\mathbf{a})$ and $\sigma^n(\mathbf{b})$ over alphabet $\mathcal{A}_m^2$ by $\mathbf{A_n}$ and $\mathbf{B_n}$, respectively. Similarly to Lemma 2.4, we have Lemma 2.5. By the definitions of $\mathcal{A}_m^2$ and Θ_2, Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to Theorem 2.2'.

Lemma 2.5. *Over $\mathcal{A}_m^2$, $\mathbf{A_n} = A_m^{-1}A_{m+n+2}A_m$, $\mathbf{B_n} = A_m^{-1}B_{m+n+2}A_m$ for $n \geq 0$.*

Theorem 2.2'. *For each envelope word E_m^2 $(m \geq 1)$, the return word sequence $\{r_p(E_m^2)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in the period-doubling sequence is itself still a period-doubling sequence over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}_m^2$.*

Proof. Denote $r_0(E_m^2)$ by r_0. By $A_m = a\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j$ and Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D} = a\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j = a\prod_{j=0}^{m+1} B_j \prod_{j=m+2}^{\infty} B_j = A_{m+2}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{m+j+2} \\ = A_mA_m^{-1}A_{m+2}A_m\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(A_m^{-1}B_{m+j+2}A_m) = r_0\mathbf{a}\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{B_j} = r_0\mathbf{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are the period-doubling sequence over alphabets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_m^2$, respectively. Notice that, r_0 is not a return word, so we omit r_0 in the return word sequence. Thus the conclusion holds. □

3. Uniqueness of envelope extension

In this section, we give two types of *uniqueness of envelope extensions*, which play important roles in our studies. Using them, we can extend Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and other related properties from envelope words to general factors.

First we define the *order* of envelope words that $E_m^1 \sqsubset E_m^2$ and $E_m^i \sqsubset E_{m+1}^j$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $m \geq 1$. For any factor ω, let

$$\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = \min_{\sqsubset}\{E_m^i \mid \omega \prec E_m^i,\ i = 1, 2,\ m \geq 1\},$$

which is called *the envelope of factor* ω.

By the definition of envelope, the envelope of each factor is unique. But we do not know: (1) whether ω occurs in $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$ only once or not; (2) the relation between the positions of the p-th occurrence of factor ω and the p-th occurrence of its envelope $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$ for all $p \geq 1$.

The two types of uniqueness of envelope extensions will answer these questions.

Theorem 3.1 (The weak type of envelope extension)**.** *Each factor* ω *occurs exactly once in* $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$.

Thus for each factor ω, there exist a unique integer i $(0 \leq i \leq |\mathrm{Env}(\omega)| - |\omega|)$, such that

$$\omega = \mathrm{Env}(\omega)[i + 1, i + |\omega|]. \tag{1}$$

Theorem 3.2 (The strong type of envelope extension)**.** *Every occurrence of factor* ω *in* $\mathbb{D}$ *can extend to a factor* $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$.

Thus for each factor ω with expression (1) and $p \geq 1$, the difference $[\omega]_p - [\mathrm{Env}(\omega)]_p$ is equal to i, depending only on ω. This means, the p-th occurrence of factor ω and the p-th occurrence of its envelope $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$ are "relative rest". Theorem 3.2 is clearly true for $m = 1, 2$. For $m \geq 3$, we prove it in two cases, see Propositions 3.11 and 3.13.

3.1. Basic properties of envelope words

For later use, we give some basic properties of envelope words first.

Since $E_m^1 = A_m\delta_m^{-1}$, $E_m^2 = B_mB_{m-1}\delta_m^{-1}$ and $A_m\delta_m^{-1} = B_m\delta_{m+1}^{-1}$, we have

$$E_{m+1}^1 = E_m^1\delta_m E_m^1 \text{ and } E_{m+1}^2 = E_m^1\delta_m E_m^1\delta_m E_m^1 \text{ for } m \geq 1.$$

Thus both E_m^1 and E_m^2 are palindromes. By induction, we give a more general form in Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.3. *For* $m > n$ *and* $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $E_m^i = E_n^1x_1E_n^1 \cdots E_n^1x_hE_n^1$, *where* $x_1 \cdots x_h = \overline{E_{m-n}^i}$ *for* n *odd, and* $x_1 \cdots x_h = E_{m-n}^i$ *for* n *even.*

For instance, when $n = 1$ (odd) and $m = 3$, we give all $\underline{E_1^1 = a}$ with underline: $E_3^1 = \underline{a}b\underline{a}\underline{a}b\underline{a}$. In this case, $x_1 \cdots x_h = bab = \overline{aba} = \overline{E_2^1} = \overline{E_{m-n}^1}$.

Lemma 3.4. *The factor* $E_n^1\delta_m E_k^1$ $(n > k)$ *is a palindrome if and only if* $n - k = 1$, m *and* k *have the same parity. In this case,* $E_n^1\delta_m E_k^1 = E_n^1\delta_{n-1}E_{n-1}^1 = E_n^2$.

Proof. (1) When $n = k+1$, $E^1_n\delta_m E^1_k = E^1_k\underline{\delta_k}E^1_k\underline{\delta_m}E^1_k$. Comparing the two letters with underlines, $E^1_n\delta_m E^1_k$ is a palindrome if and only if $\delta_k = \delta_m$. This means m and k have the same parity.

(2) When $n \geq k+2$, $E^1_n\delta_m E^1_k = E^1_k x_1 E^1_k x_2 \cdots x_h E^1_k\delta_m E^1_k$. By Lemma 3.3, $x_1x_2\cdots x_h = E^1_{n-k}$ or $\overleftarrow{E^1_{n-k}}$. Since $n-k \geq 2$, $E^1_{n-k}[2] = b$ and $E^1_{n-k}[\text{end}] = a$. Thus $x_2 \neq x_h$, $E^1_n\delta_m E^1_k$ can not be a palindrome. So the conclusion holds. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let palindrome ω be a proper prefix of E^1_m (resp. E^2_m). Then there exists $n \leq m$ such that $\omega = E^1_n$.*

Proof. Case 1. Consider E^1_m first. We prove the result by induction on m. Clearly it is correct for $m = 1, 2$. Now assume the result is true for m, we prove it for $m+1$. Since $E^1_{m+1} = E^1_m\delta_m E^1_m$, there are three cases.

(1) If ω is a prefix of E^1_m, there exists $n \leq m$ such that $\omega = E^1_n$.

(2) For $m \geq 2$, $E^1_m[2] = b \neq E^1_m[\text{end}] = a$. So $\omega = E^1_m\delta_m = abE^1_m[3, \text{end}-1]a\delta_m$ can not be a palindrome.

(3) If $\omega = E^1_m\delta_m\mu$ is a palindrome, where μ is a proper prefix of E^1_m. Since $\overleftarrow{\omega} = \omega$, we have $\omega = \overleftarrow{\mu}E^1_m[|\mu|+1, \text{end}]\delta_m\mu$. This means $\overleftarrow{\mu}$ is a prefix of E^1_m too. So μ is palindrome too. Thus there exists $n' < m$ such that $\omega = E^1_{n'}$. This means $\omega = E^1_m\delta_m E^1_{n'}$ is a palindrome. This contradicts Lemma 3.4.

By induction, the conclusion holds for E^1_m, $m \geq 1$.

Case 2. The conclusion for E^2_m can be verified by induction too. We give only the induction step. Since $E^2_{m+1} = E^1_m\delta_m E^1_m\delta_m E^1_m$, there are seven cases as below, where μ is a proper prefix of E^1_m.

$$\begin{cases} (1)\omega = \mu,\ (2)\omega = E^1_m,\ (3)\omega = E^1_m\delta_m, \\ (4)\omega = E^1_m\delta_m\mu,\ (5)\omega = E^1_m\delta_m E^1_m = E^1_{m+1}, \\ (6)\omega = E^1_m\delta_m E^1_m\delta_m = E^1_{m+1}\delta_m,\ (7)\omega = E^1_m\delta_m E^1_m\delta_m\mu = E^1_{m+1}\delta_m\mu. \end{cases}$$

Obviously ω is palindrome in cases (2) and (5). By the proof above, there exists $n \leq m$ such that $\omega = E^1_n$ in case (1); and ω can not be palindrome in cases (3), (4), (6) and (7). This completes the proof. □

3.2. The simplification by palindromic property

The "weak type of envelope extension" means "each ω occurs in $\text{Env}(\omega)$ only once". Though the analysis in this subsection, we only need to prove the last property for ω is a palindrome. Moreover, if ω occurs in $\text{Env}(\omega)$ at least twice, we can pick two of them. So we only need to negate the proposition that "there exist a palindrome ω occurs in $\text{Env}(\omega)$ twice".

Lemma 3.6. *For each palindrome Λ of odd length, if there exists a factor ω satisfying: (1) ω occurs in Λ twice; (2) both of the two occurrences of ω contain the middle letter of Λ. Then there exists a palindrome occurring in Λ twice with symmetric positions.*

Proof. Denote these two occurrences of ω by ω' and ω''. Moreover

$$\omega' = \Lambda[d_1+1, d_1+|\omega|] \text{ and } \omega'' = \Lambda[d_2+1, d_2+|\omega|],$$

where $0 \le d_1 < d_2 \le |\Lambda| - |\omega|$.

Case 1. $d_1 = |\Lambda| - d_2 - |\omega|$. ω' and ω'' occur in Λ at symmetric positions. Since Λ is palindrome, $\overleftarrow{\omega''} = \overleftarrow{\Lambda}[|\Lambda| - d_2 - |\omega| + 1, |\Lambda| - d_2] = \Lambda[d_1+1, d_1+|\omega|] = \omega'$. Since ω' and ω'' are two occurrences of ω, we have $\overleftarrow{\omega} = \omega$. This means the factor ω is a palindrome occurring at symmetric positions.

Case 2. $d_1 \neq |\Lambda| - d_2 - |\omega|$. Since Λ is palindrome, we assume $d_1 < |\Lambda| - d_2 - |\omega|$ without loss of generality. By the expressions of ω' and ω'',

$$\overleftarrow{\omega'} = \Lambda[|\Lambda| - d_1 - |\omega| + 1, |\Lambda| - d_1] \text{ and } \overleftarrow{\omega''} = \Lambda[|\Lambda| - d_2 - |\omega| + 1, |\Lambda| - d_2].$$

Since both ω' and ω'' contain the middle letter of Λ, ω' and $\overleftarrow{\omega''}$ are overlapped. Define a factor $\mu' = \Lambda[d_1+1, |\Lambda| - d_2]$. Then $\omega' \triangleleft \mu'$, $\overleftarrow{\omega''} \triangleright \mu'$ and $|\mu'| < |\omega'| + |\omega''| = 2|\omega|$. This means μ' is palindrome. Similarly, $\mu'' = \Lambda[d_2+1, |\Lambda| - d_1]$ is palindrome.

Moreover, μ' and μ'' occur at symmetric positions. So the conclusion holds. □

3.3. The weak type of envelope extension

Lemma 3.7. *Let ω be a factor of $\mathbb{D}$. (1) If there exists integer $m \ge 3$ such that $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^1_m$, then $E^1_{m-2} \prec \omega$; Moreover, one element in set*

$$\{\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}, \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}, \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m\}$$

is a factor of ω. (2) If there exists integer $m \ge 3$ such that $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^2_m$, then $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1} \prec \omega$. (3) Factor ω contains the middle letter of $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$.

Proof. Case 1. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^1_m$.



FIGURE 1. The envelope words E^1_{m-1} and E^2_{m-2} occur in E^1_m.

Since ω can not be the factor of E^1_{m-1} or E^2_{m-2}, ω has three cases by Figure 1:

(i) $\omega \succ \delta_m E^1_{m-3}\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-3}\underline{\delta_{m-1}} = \delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$;

(ii) $\omega \succ \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-3}\underline{\delta_{m-1}}E^1_{m-3}\delta_{m-1} = \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$;

(iii) $\omega \succ \underline{\delta_{m-1}}E^1_{m-3}\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-3}\delta_m = \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m$.

In each case, (1) $\omega \succ E^1_{m-2}$; (2) ω contains the middle letter δ_{m-1} of $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$, which is shown with underline.

Case 2. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^2_m$. Since ω can not be the factor of E^1_m, by Figure 2 we have $\omega \succ \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}$. Obviously, ω contains the middle letter of $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$ □

$$E_m^2 = \overbrace{E_{m-1}^1\delta_{m-1}\overbrace{E_{m-1}^1}\delta_{m-1}E_{m-1}^1}^{E_m^1}\overbrace{\qquad\qquad}^{E_m^1}$$

FIGURE 2. The envelope word E_m^1 occurs in E_m^2.

We call factor u occurs in the middle of ω if there exists integer i $(0 \le i \le |\omega|)$ such that $u = \omega[i+1, |\omega| - i]$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The result is clearly true for $m = 1, 2$.

For $m \ge 3$, suppose the factor ω occurs twice in $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$, denoted by ω' and ω''. Denote $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$ by E_m^i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $|E_m^i|$ is odd, by Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7(3), we can assume without loss of generality that: ω is palindrome; ω' and ω'' occur in E_m^i at symmetric positions.

Case 1. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E_m^1$.

E_{m-2}^1 occurs five times in E_m^1, denoted by positions [1] to [5] in Figure 3. By Lemma 3.7(1), ω' and ω'' contain at least one E_{m-2}^1 at positions [2] to [4].

$$E_m^1 = \underbrace{E_{m-2}^1}_{[1]}\delta_m\underbrace{E_{m-3}^1\delta_{m-1}\overbrace{E_{m-3}^1}^{[3]}\delta_{m-1}\overbrace{E_{m-3}^1}^{[3]}\delta_{m-1}E_{m-3}^1}_{[2]\qquad\qquad[4]}\delta_m\underbrace{E_{m-2}^1}_{[5]}$$

FIGURE 3. The five occurrences of E_{m-2}^1 in E_m^1.

1. If ω' and ω'' contain only one occurrence of E_{m-2}^1. Since they are palindrome, E_{m-2}^1 occurs in the middle of ω' (resp. ω''). Since ω' and ω'' occur in E_m^1 at symmetric positions, they contain E_{m-2}^1 at positions [2] and [4] respectively. Since E_{m-2}^1 at [2] is preceded by δ_m and followed by δ_{m-1}, ω' can not be a palindrome.

2. If ω' and ω'' contain two occurrences of E_{m-2}^1. Since they are palindrome, the two occurrences of E_{m-2}^1 occurs at symmetric positions. There are two subcases: (i) ω' contains E_{m-2}^1 at [1] and [2]. Then $\omega' = E_{m-2}^1\delta_m E_{m-2}^1 = E_{m-1}^1$, contradicting to $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E_m^1$. (ii) ω' contains E_{m-2}^1 at [2] and [3]. Since E_{m-2}^1 is preceded by δ_m at [2], and followed by δ_{m-1} at [3], ω' can not be a palindrome.

3. Similarly, when ω' and ω'' contain three (resp. four, five) occurrences of E_{m-2}^1, we find contradictions.

Case 2. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E_m^2$.

E_{m-1}^1 occurs three times in E_m^2, denoted by positions [1] to [3] as below. By Lemma 3.7, ω' and ω'' contain the $\delta_{m-1}E_{m-1}^1\delta_{m-1}$ in the middle of E_m^2.

$$E_m^2 = \underbrace{E_{m-1}^1}_{[1]}\delta_{m-1}\underbrace{E_{m-1}^1}_{[2]}\delta_{m-1}\underbrace{E_{m-1}^1}_{[3]}$$

Obviously there are no palindromes ω' and ω'' satisfy the assumptions.

Thus, each factor ω occurs exactly once in $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$. □

3.4. The strong type of envelope extension

In this subsection, we prove that every occurrence of ω in $\mathbb{D}$ can extend to $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$. This means let factor ω have expression (1), then every occurrence of ω in $\mathbb{D}$ must preceded by $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)[1,i]$ and followed by $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)[i+|\omega|+1,\mathrm{end}]$.

Case 1. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E^1_m$ for $m\geq 3$.

By Theorem 2.1, the return word sequence $\{r_p(E^1_{m-2})\}_{p\geq 1}$ is Θ_1 over alphabet $\{A_{m-2},A_{m-3}\}=\{E^1_{m-2}\delta_m,E^1_{m-2}\delta_m B^{-1}_{m-3}\}$, where $E^1_{m-2}=A_{m-2}\delta^{-1}_m$. Since $\Theta_1=\tau_1(\mathbb{D})$ where $\tau_1(a)=a$ and $\tau_1(b)=bb$, all factors in Θ_1 of length 3 are $\{abb,bba,baa,aaa,aab,bab\}$. Thus all occurrences of E^1_{m-2} in $\mathbb{D}$ can be divided into 6 cases as below, where $\mathbf{a}=r_1(E^1_{m-2})$, $\mathbf{b}=r_2(E^1_{m-2})$.

$$\begin{cases}\mathbf{abb}=A_{m-2}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m B^{-1}_{m-3}A_{m-3}\succ\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1};\\ \mathbf{bba}=A_{m-3}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m B^{-1}_{m-3}A_{m-2}\succ\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1};\\ \mathbf{baa}=A_{m-3}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2}\succ\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m;\\ \mathbf{aaa}=A_{m-2}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2}\succ\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_m;\\ \mathbf{aab}=A_{m-2}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m A_{m-3}\succ\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_m;\\ \mathbf{bab}=A_{m-3}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m A_{m-3}\succ\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m.\end{cases}$$

Here we use $\delta_m B^{-1}_{m-3}A_{m-3}=(B_{m-3}\delta^{-1}_m)^{-1}(A_{m-3}\delta^{-1}_{m-1})\delta_{m-1}=\delta_{m-1}$, and always rewrite the middle letter $\mathbf{a}$ or $\mathbf{b}$ to be the expression with envelope word E^1_{m-2}.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.7(1), one element in set

$$\{\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1},\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1},\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m\}$$

is a factor of ω. So we consider three subcases in Lemmas 3.8 to 3.10.

Lemma 3.8. *The factor $\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded by $A_{m-2}\delta^{-1}_m$ and followed by $A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}$. This means every occurrence of factor $\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ can extend to E^1_m.*

Proof. The factor $\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence has only one case: **abb**. Since $\Theta_1=\tau_1(\mathbb{D})$ where $\tau_1(a)=a$ and $\tau_1(b)=bb$, each occurrence of **abb** can extend to $\underline{\mathbf{abb}}\mathbf{abb}$ or $\underline{\mathbf{abb}}\mathbf{aa}$ in Θ_1.

$$\begin{cases}\underline{\mathbf{abb}}\mathbf{abb} &= A_{m-2}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2}A_{m-3}A_{m-3}=A_{m-2}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1};\\ \underline{\mathbf{abb}}\mathbf{aa} &= A_{m-2}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2}A_{m-2}=A_{m-2}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-1}.\end{cases}$$

Since $B_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_m=A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}$, both of them have prefix

$$A_{m-2}\delta^{-1}_m\underline{\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}}A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}=A_m\delta^{-1}_m=E^1_m.$$

Here we show the factor $\delta_m E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ with underline. So the conclusion holds. □

Lemma 3.9. *The factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded by the word $A_{m-2}A_{m-3}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}$ and followed by $B_{m-3}A_{m-2}\delta^{-1}_m$. This means every occurrence of factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ can extend to E^1_m.*

Proof. The factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in $\mathbb{D}$ has only one case: **bba**. It extends to **abbaa** or **abbabb** in Θ_1.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{abbaa} & = A_{m-2}A_{m-3}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-3}A_{m-2}; \\ \mathbf{abbabb} & = A_{m-2}A_{m-3}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-3}A_{m-3}A_{m-3}. \end{cases}$$

Both of them have prefix $A_{m-2}A_{m-3}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_{m-1}}B_{m-3}A_{m-2}\delta^{-1}_m = E^1_m$.

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.10. *The factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded by $A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}$ and followed by $A_{m-2}\delta^{-1}_m$. This means every occurrence of factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m$ can extend to E^1_m.*

Proof. The factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m$ occurs in $\mathbb{D}$ has two cases: **baa** and **bab**. They extend to **abbaa** and **abbabb** in Θ_1, respectively.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{abbaa} & = A_{m-2}A_{m-3}A_{m-3}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m A_{m-2}; \\ \mathbf{abbabb} & = A_{m-2}A_{m-3}A_{m-3}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m A_{m-3}A_{m-3} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m B_{m-2}. \end{cases}$$

Both of them have prefix $A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-2}\delta_m}A_{m-2}\delta^{-1}_m = E^1_m$.

Thus the conclusion holds. □

Proposition 3.11. *If there exists integer $m \geq 3$ such that $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^1_m$, then every occurrence of ω in the period-doubling sequence can extend to $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$.*

Case 2. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^2_m$ for $m \geq 3$.

By Theorem 2.1, the return word sequence $\{r_p(E^1_{m-1})\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_1 over alphabet $\{A_{m-1}, A_{m-2}\} = \{E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}, E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}B^{-1}_{m-2}\}$, where $E^1_{m-1} = A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}$. Since all factors with length 3 in Θ_1 are $\{abb, bba, baa, aaa, aab, bab\}$, all occurrences of E^1_{m-1} in $\mathbb{D}$ can be divided into 6 cases as below, where $\mathbf{a} = r_1(E^1_{m-1})$, $\mathbf{b} = r_2(E^1_{m-1})$.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{abb} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}B^{-1}_{m-2}A_{m-2} \succ \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_m; \\ \mathbf{bba} = A_{m-2}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}B^{-1}_{m-2}A_{m-1} \succ \delta_m E^1_{m-1}\delta_m; \\ \mathbf{baa} = A_{m-2}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1} \succ \delta_m E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}; \\ \mathbf{aaa} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1} \succ \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}; \\ \mathbf{aab} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2} \succ \delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}; \\ \mathbf{bab} = A_{m-2}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2} \succ \delta_m E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}. \end{cases}$$

Here we always rewrite the middle letter **a** or **b** to be an expression with envelope word E^1_{m-1}.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.7(2), $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1} \prec \omega$.

Lemma 3.12. *The factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in the period-doubling sequence always preceded and followed by the word $A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}$. This means every occurrence of factor $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}$ can extend to E^2_m.*

Proof. By the analysis above, $\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}$ occurs in $\mathbb{D}$ has two cases: **aaa** and **aab**. Here $\underline{\mathbf{aaa}} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-1}$. Moreover **aab** extends to $\underline{\mathbf{aab}}\mathbf{b}$ in Θ_1.

$$\underline{\mathbf{aab}}\mathbf{b} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}A_{m-2}A_{m-2} = A_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}B_{m-1}.$$

Both of them have prefix $A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1}\underline{\delta_{m-1}E^1_{m-1}\delta_{m-1}}A_{m-1}\delta^{-1}_{m-1} = E^2_m$.

This means the conclusion holds. □

Proposition 3.13. *If there exists integer $m \geq 3$ such that $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^2_m$, then every occurrence of ω in the period-doubling sequence can extend to $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$.*

4. The return word sequences of general factors in $\mathbb{D}$

Let factor ω have expression (1). By the strong type of envelope extension (see Theorem 3.2), we give the expressions of $r_p(\omega)$ that:

$$r_0(\omega) = r_0(\mathrm{E})\mathrm{E}[1,i] \text{ and } r_p(\omega) = r_p(\mathrm{E})[i+1,\mathrm{end}]\mathrm{E}[1,i] \text{ for } p \geq 1. \tag{2}$$

Here we denote $\mathrm{Env}(\omega)$ by E for short. An immediate corollary is $|r_p(\omega)| = |r_p(\mathrm{Env}(\omega))|$ for $p \geq 1$, which will be used in Section 6.

Using expression (2), we extend Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 to general factors as Theorems 4.1 as below. This is the first main result in our paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ω be a factor. (1) If $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^1_m$, the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_1 over the alphabet $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega)\}$. (2) If $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E^2_m$, the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_2 over the alphabet $\{r_1(\omega), r_2(\omega), r_4(\omega)\}$.*

5. The reflexivity of the return word sequences

In Huang-Wen [12,13], we showed that: for any factor, the return word sequence of the Fibonacci (resp. Tribonacci) sequence is itself. In this section, we show that: for any factor ω in Θ_1 (resp. Θ_2), the return word sequence $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p\geq 1}$ is still Θ_1 or Θ_2. We call it the reflexivity property of the return word sequence. This is the second main result in our paper.

Recall that $\Theta_i = \tau_i(\mathbb{D})$, where $i = 1, 2$, $\tau_1(a) = a$, $\tau_1(b) = bb$, $\tau_2(a) = ab$ and $\tau_2(b) = acac$. The methods are similar with the proofs of $\mathbb{D}$. Two types of "uniqueness of envelope extension" still hold. Thus let factor ω have expression (1), the return words $r_p(\omega)$ and $r_p(\mathrm{Env}(\omega))$ have relation (2) too.

Thus we only give the definitions of envelope words and the return word sequences of each types of envelop words in Θ_1 and Θ_2 in this section. We also give some examples here. More examples, see Section 1.

The envelope words of Θ_1 are factors in set $\{{}^1E_m^j \mid j=1,2,\ m\geq 1\}$ where

$$\begin{cases} {}^1E_m^1 = \tau_1(E_m^1) \text{ for } m\geq 1; \\ {}^1E_1^2 = b \text{ and } {}^1E_m^2 = \tau_1(E_{m-1}^2) \text{ for } m\geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The envelope words of Θ_2 are factors in set $\{{}^2E_m^j \mid j=1,2,\ m\geq 1\}$ where

$$\begin{cases} {}^2E_1^1 = a \text{ and } {}^2E_m^1 = \tau_2(E_{m-1}^1)a \text{ for } m\geq 2; \\ {}^2E_1^2 = aca \text{ and } {}^2E_m^2 = \tau_2(E_{m-1}^2)a \text{ for } m\geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Tab.3: The first few values of E_m^j and ${}^iE_m^j$ for $i,j=1,2$

m	1	2	3	4
E_m^1	a	aba	$abaaaba$	$abaaababababaaaba$
E_m^2	aa	$ababa$	$abaaabaaaba$	$abaaababababaaababababaaaba$
${}^1E_m^1$	a	$abba$	$abbaaabba$	$abbaaabbabbabbaaabba$
${}^1E_m^2$	b	aa	$abbabba$	$abbaaabbaaabba$
${}^2E_m^1$	a	aba	$abacacaba$	$abacacababababacacaba$
${}^2E_m^2$	aca	$ababa$	$abacacabacacaba$	$abacacababababacacababababacacaba$

Tab.4 and Tab.5 give the return word sequences of ${}^iE_m^j$ in sequence Θ_i for $i,j=1,2$. Tab.6 gives examples for six envelope words: E_1^1, E_1^2, ${}^1E_1^1=\tau_1(E_1^1)$, ${}^1E_2^2=\tau_1(E_1^2)$, ${}^2E_2^1=\tau_2(E_1^1)a$ and ${}^2E_2^2=\tau_2(E_1^2)a$. In these tables, we write "the return word sequence" as *r.w.s* for short.

For instance, (1) the return word sequence $\{r_p({}^1E_m^1)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in Θ_1 is still Θ_1 over alphabet $\{r_1({}^1E_m^1), r_2({}^1E_m^1)\} = \{\tau_1(r_1(E_m^1)), \tau_1(r_2(E_m^1))\}$, see Tab.4; (2) the return word sequence $\{r_p({}^1E_1^1)\}_{p\geq 1} = \{r_p(a)\}_{p\geq 1}$ in Θ_1 is still Θ_1 over alphabet $\{r_1(a), r_2(a)\} = \{\tau_1(ab), \tau_1(a)\} = \{abb, a\}$, see Tab.6.

Tab.4: The return word sequences of ${}^1E_m^j$ in sequence Θ_1 for $j=1,2$

		Alphabet		
Factor ω	r.w.s	$r_1(\omega)$	$r_2(\omega)$	$r_4(\omega)$
${}^1E_m^1=\tau_1(E_m^1)$	Θ_1	$\tau_1(r_1(E_m^1))$	$\tau_1(r_2(E_m^1))$	$--$
${}^1E_1^2=b$	Θ_2	b	$baaa$	ba
${}^1E_m^2=\tau_1(E_{m-1}^2), m\geq 2$	Θ_2	$\tau_1(r_1(E_{m-1}^2))$	$\tau_1(r_2(E_{m-1}^2))$	$\tau_1(r_4(E_{m-1}^2))$

Tab.5: The return word sequences of ${}^2E_m^j$ in sequence Θ_2 for $j=1,2$

		Alphabet		
Factor ω	r.w.s	$r_1(\omega)$	$r_2(\omega)$	$r_4(\omega)$
${}^2E_1^1=a$	Θ_1	ab	ac	$--$
${}^2E_m^1=\tau_2(E_{m-1}^1)a, m\geq 2$	Θ_1	$\tau_2(r_1(E_{m-1}^1))$	$\tau_2(r_2(E_{m-1}^1))$	$--$
${}^2E_1^2=aca$	Θ_2	ac	$acababab$	$acab$
${}^2E_m^2=\tau_2(E_{m-1}^2)a, m\geq 2$	Θ_2	$\tau_2(r_1(E_{m-1}^2))$	$\tau_2(r_2(E_{m-1}^2))$	$\tau_2(r_4(E_{m-1}^2))$

Tab.6: Some examples of the return word sequences

Factor ω	$r.w.s$	Alphabet		
		$r_1(\omega)$	$r_2(\omega)$	$r_4(\omega)$
$E_1^1 = a \prec \mathbb{D}$	Θ_1	ab	a	$--$
$E_1^2 = aa \prec \mathbb{D}$	Θ_2	a	$aababab$	aab
${}^1E_1^1 = a \prec \Theta_1$	Θ_1	abb	a	$--$
${}^1E_2^2 = aa \prec \Theta_1$	Θ_2	a	$aabbabbabb$	$aabb$
${}^2E_2^1 = aba \prec \Theta_2$	Θ_1	$abacac$	ab	$--$
${}^2E_2^2 = ababa \prec \Theta_2$	Θ_2	ab	$ababacacabacacabacac$	$ababacac$

6. Application: combinatorics properties of factors

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a property and ω be a factor. We call $(\omega, p) \in \mathcal{P}$ if the p-th occurrence of ω has property $\mathcal{P}$. We call $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ if there exists $p \geq 1$ such that $(\omega, p) \in \mathcal{P}$. For instance, we consider $\mathcal{P}$ is "property of adjacent". Then $(\omega, p) \in \mathcal{P}$ means there exists an integer q $(> p)$ such that the p-th and q-th occurrences of ω are adjacent. And $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ means there exists two integers p and q such that the p-th and q-th occurrences of ω are adjacent. Take $\omega = ab \in \mathbb{D}$ for example. The first three occurrences of ω are $\mathbb{D}[1,2]$, $\mathbb{D}[5,6]$ and $\mathbb{D}[7,8]$. By the definition above, $(\omega, 1) \notin \mathcal{P}$, $(\omega, 2) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$. By $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$, we know $\omega\omega = abab$ is a square in $\mathbb{D}$. But we do not know which integer p such that $(\omega, p) \in \mathcal{P}$.

We are led naturally to study two questions for any sequence θ:

Q1. Determine all factors $\omega \in \theta$ such that $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$.

Q2. Determine all $\omega \in \theta$ and all integers p such that $(\omega, p) \in \mathcal{P}$.

From our knowledge, most of the previous study on combinatorics on words concern Q1. Using the return word sequences, we can study Q2. It is more difficult, but useful and interesting. To study Q2, we introduce a new notion called the *spectrum* of property $\mathcal{P}$, which considers both the variables ω and p.

Now we study some combinatorial properties: separated ($\mathcal{P}_1$), adjacent ($\mathcal{P}_2$) and overlapped ($\mathcal{P}_3$). For instance, $(\omega, p) \in \mathcal{P}_1$ means the p-th and $(p+1)$-th occurrences of ω are separated. By $|r_p(\omega)| = |r_p(\mathrm{Env}(\omega))|$ proved in Section 4, and the values of $|r_p(E_m^i)|$ $(i = 1, 2)$ proved in Section 2, we have:

Case 1. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E_m^1$. By Lemma 3.7(1), each factor ω with envelope E_m^1 satisfies $2^{m-2} + 1 \leq |\omega| \leq 2^m - 1$. By Theorem 2.1, $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_1. When $\Theta_1[p] = a$, $|r_p(\omega)| = |r_1(\omega)| = 2^m > |\omega|$. So the p-th and $(p+1)$-th occurrences of ω are separated. But when $\Theta_1[p] = b$, $|r_p(\omega)| = |r_2(\omega)| = 2^{m-1}$. In this case, (ω, p) has different property for different $|\omega|$.

Case 2. $\mathrm{Env}(\omega) = E_m^2$. By Lemma 3.7(2), each factor ω with envelope E_m^2 satisfies $2^{m-1} + 1 \leq |\omega| \leq 3 \times 2^{m-1} - 1$. By Theorem 2.2, $\{r_p(\omega)\}_{p \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_2. (i) When $\Theta_2[p] = a$, $|r_p(\omega)| = |r_1(\omega)| = 2^{m-1} < |\omega|$; (ii) when $\Theta_2[p] = b$, $|r_p(\omega)| = |r_2(\omega)| = 7 \times 2^{m-1} > |\omega|$; (iii) when $\Theta_2[p] = c$, $|r_p(\omega)| = |r_4(\omega)| = 3 \times 2^{m-1} > |\omega|$.

Moreover, notice that $\big\{|r_p(\omega)r_{p+1}(\omega)|, p \geq 1\big\}$ is equal to

(1) $\big\{|r_1(\omega)r_1(\omega)|, |r_1(\omega)r_2(\omega)|, |r_2(\omega)r_2(\omega)|\big\} = \{2^{m+1}, 3 \times 2^m, 2^m\}$ in case 1;

(2) $\big\{|r_1(\omega)r_2(\omega)|, |r_1(\omega)r_4(\omega)|\big\} = \{2^{m+2}, 2^{m+1}\}$ in case 2.

Thus the p-th and q-th occurrences of ω are always separated for $q-p\geq 2$. So we only discuss the combinatorial properties between the p-th and $(p+1)$-th occurrences of a fixed factor.

The spectrum of properties $\mathcal{P}_i$ for $i=1,2,3$.

$$(\omega,p)\in\mathcal{P}_1\Leftrightarrow(\omega,p)\in\begin{cases}\{\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E_m^1(m\geq 1),\Theta_1[p]=a\}\\ \cup\{\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E_m^1(m\geq 1),\Theta_1[p]=b,|\omega|<2^{m-1}\}\\ \cup\{\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E_m^2(m\geq 1),\Theta_2[p]\neq a\}.\end{cases}$$

$$(\omega,p)\in\mathcal{P}_2\Leftrightarrow(\omega,p)\in\{\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E_m^1(m\geq 1),\Theta_1[p]=b,|\omega|=2^{m-1}\}$$

$$(\omega,p)\in\mathcal{P}_3\Leftrightarrow(\omega,p)\in\begin{cases}\{\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E_m^1(m\geq 1),\Theta_1[p]=b,|\omega|>2^{m-1}\}\\ \cup\{\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E_m^2(m\geq 1),\Theta_2[p]=a\}.\end{cases}$$

These are answers of Q2. Using them we have

$$\omega\in\mathcal{P}_2\Leftrightarrow\omega\in\{\mathrm{Env}(\omega)=E_m^1(m\geq 1),|\omega|=2^{m-1}\},$$

which is an answer of property $\mathcal{P}_2$ in Q1. Using this conclusion, we can determine all occurrences of squares and cubes in $\mathbb{D}$.

References

[1] S.V. Avgustinovich, J. Cassaigne, A.E. Frid. Sequences of low arithmetical complexity. RAIRO-Theoretical Informatics and Applications, 2006, 40(4): 569-582.

[2] J.P. Allouche, J. Peyrière, Z.-X. Wen, Z.-Y. Wen. Hankel determinants of the thue-morse sequence. Annales-Institut Fourier, 1998, 1(1): 1-27.

[3] S. Brown. Squares and overlaps in the Thue-Morse sequence and some variants. RAIRO-Theoretical Informatics and Applications, 2006, 40(3): 473-484.

[4] É. Charlier, J. Leroy, M. Rigo. Asymptotic properties of free monoid morphisms. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 2015, 500: 119-148.

[5] É. Charlier, N. Rampersad, J. Shallit. Enumeration and Decidable Properties of Automatic Sequences. International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, 2012, 23(05): 1035-1066.

[6] F. Durand. A characterization of substitutive sequences using return words. Discrete Math. 1998, 179: 89-101.

[7] D. Damanik. Singular Continuous Spectrum for the Period Doubling Hamiltonian on a Set of Full Measure. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 1998, 196(2): 477-483.

[8] D. Damanik. Local symmetries in the period-doubling sequence. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 2000, 100(1-2): 115-121.

[9] D. Damanik. Uniform Singular Continuous Spectrum for the Period Doubling Hamiltonian. Annales Henri Poincar, 2001, 2(1): 101-108.

[10] H. Fu, G.-N. Han. On t-extensions of the Hankel determinants of certain automatic sequences. Theoretical Computer Science, 2015, 562(C): 46-56.

[11] Y.-J. Guo, Z.-X. Wen. Automaticity of the Hankel determinants of difference sequences of the Thue-Morse sequence. Theoretical Computer Science, 2014, 552(4): 1-12.

[12] Y.-K. Huang, Z.-Y. Wen. The sequence of return words of the Fibonacci sequence. Theoretical Computer Science, 2015, 593: 106-116.

[13] Y.-K. Huang, Z.-Y. Wen. Kernel words and gap sequence of the Tribonacci sequence. Acta Mathematica Scientia, 2016, 36(1): 173-194.

[14] E. Liviotti. A study of the structure factor of Thue-Morse and period-doubling chains by wavelet analysis. Journal of Physics Condensed Matter, 1998, 8(27): 5007.

[15] A. Parreau, M. Rigo, E. Rowland, É. Vandomme. A new approach to the 2-regularity of the l-abelian complexity of 2-automatic sequences. Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 2015, 22(1): 1-27.

[16] M. Rigo, P. Salimov, É. Vandomme. Some properties of abelian return words (long abstract). Journal of Integer Sequences, 2013, 16(2): 13.2.5.

Communicated by (The editor will be set by the publisher).